

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,519	01/09/2001	Craig R. Horne	N19.12-0051	8679
Peter S. Dardi,	7590 02/07/200 Ph.D.	EXAMINER		
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street			JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2100			1754	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/757,519	HORNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward M. Johnson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>7</i> =	/ -					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,17 and 22-26</u> is/are pending	in the application.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3,10,17,22 and 24-26 is/are rejected	d.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 23</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· •					
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
		·				
		·				
Attachment(s)	A) [] (-1	(DTO 440)				
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/757,519 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/06, with respect to the rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive, with respect to the section of the reference previously relied upon. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a different section of Koksbang '214, Koksbang '880, and also the new reference, Takamuki et al. US 5,556,738.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koksbang '214.

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Koksbang '214 discloses a battery comprising metal vanadium oxide particles (abstract) of "submicron" size (see column 6, lines 7-8), which would inherently be an average diameter less than 1 micron.

4. Claims 1-2, 17, 24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koksbang '880.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,519

Art Unit: 1754

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Koksbang '880 discloses a battery comprising metal vanadium oxide particles (abstract) of 0.1-5 microns (see column 5, lines 4-6).

Regarding claims 2, 24, and 26, Koksbang '880 discloses 0.1-5 microns (see column 5, lines 4-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 10, 22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takamuki et al. US 5,556,738.

Regarding claim 1, Takamuki '738 discloses fine particles comprising mixed metal oxide having a particle size (see column 5, lines 21-23) and specifically vanadium pentaoxide (see column 5, lines 51-52).

Regarding claim 10, Takamuki discloses a method of producing fine particles comprising mixed metal oxide including vanadium oxide having a particle size of 1-300 (see column 5,

lines 21-23) and specifically 30 nm for vanadium pentaoxide (see column 5, lines 51-52), wherein the particles are mixed at a temperature of 30-80 degrees Celsius.

Takamuki fails to specifically disclose an average diameter of less than about 1 micron.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an average diameter of less than about 1 micron, including 5-50 nm, because Takamuki '738 discloses fine particles comprising mixed metal oxide including vanadium oxide having a particle size of 1-300 (see column 5, lines 21-23) and specifically 30 nm for vanadium pentaoxide (see column 5, lines 51-52), and a gelatin shell of 1-500 nm which is previously cross-linked to increase miscibility (see column 5, lines 58-60 and 65-66).

Regarding claim 22, Takamuki discloses

Regarding claims 2-3 and 24-26, Takamuki '738 discloses specifically 30 nm for vanadium pentaoxide in the disclosed mixed metal oxide (see column 5, lines 51-52).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-9 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,519 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In view of the prior art and specifically the patented claims of record (i.e. see Applicant's terminal disclaimer) and generally, the specifically claimed particle distributions would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the particles of the instant claims 6-9, nor the batter of the instant claim 23.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 1754

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

EMJ